1 2 3	Matthew D. Hardin DC Bar No. 1032711 ( <i>Pro Hac Vice forthcoming</i> ) Hardin Law Office 1725 I Street NW, Suite 300 Washington, DC 20006 Phone: 202-802-1948 Email: Matt@MatthewHardin.com	•	
4	Sherra Kissee		
5	Arizona Bar Number: 033688 Kissee Solutions, PLLC		
6	768 S Palomino Drive Payson, AZ 85541 Phone: (480) 343-3452		
7	Email: sherra@kisseesolutions.com		
	Attorneys for Petitioner		
8	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA		
9	IN AND FOR THE COUT	IO YTV	F MARICOPA
10	SOUTHWEST PUBLIC POLICY INSTITUTE Petitioner/Plaintiff,	)	Case No
11		)	
12	v.	)	VERIFIED COMPLAINT for statutory special action
13		)	and injunctive relief
14	MESA PUBLIC SCHOOLS, and SUE BEYER, in her official capacity as custodian of records for the Mesa Public	) ) )	
15	Schools,	) )	APPLICATION FOR ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
16		)	
17	Respondents/Defendants.	)	
18			
	VERIFIED COMPLAINT - 1		Hardin Law Office 1725 I Street NW, Suite 300 Washington, DC 20006 (202) 802-1948

1		I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>
2	1	This is an action on habits fide for the conductor Dathis Dation Institute to some shifts. Many
3	1.	This is an action on behalf of the Southwest Public Policy Institute to compel the Mesa
		Public Schools to provide public records pursuant to Arizona Public Records Law
4		(A.R.S. Title 39, or "APRL").
5	2.	Plaintiff/Petitioner Southwest Public Policy Institute is a nonprofit organization
6		organized under the laws of New Mexico and maintaining its principal place of
7		business in Albuquerque, New Mexico. Its mission is to explore and build on sound,
8		data-driven policies regarding education, crime, and economics that will
		encourage positive change in the American Southwest.
9		
10	3.	Respondent Mesa Public Schools is a "Public Body" as that term is defined at A.R.S. §
		39-121.01. It received a public records request from Patrick Brenner, President of the
11		Southwest Public Policy Institute, on or about March 16, 2023
12	4.	Respondent Sue Beyer is a custodian of records at the Mesa Public Schools, and
13		responded to the public records request mentioned in the previous paragraph on behalf
14		of the Mesa Public Schools. She is sued in her official capacity only.
15		JURISDICTION AND VENUE
16	5.	Jurisdiction over this action and its claims is provided by A.R.S. §§ 39-121.02 and 12-
17		123; and Rule 45, Ariz. R. P. for Spec. Actions.
18		
	VERI	FIED COMPLAINT - 2 Hardin Law Office 1725 I Street NW, Suite 300

Hardin Law Office 1725 I Street NW, Suite 300 Washington, DC 20006 (202) 802-1948

1	6.	Venue is proper pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-401 and Rule 4(b), Ariz. R. P. for Spec.
2		Actions.
3		FACTUAL BACKGROUND
4	7.	Southwest Public Policy Institute initiated a transparency project to make government
5		information more accessible to the public and guard against government employees and
6		elected officials excluding the public from information to which they are entitled by
7		law.
8	8.	As part of Southwest Public Policy Institute's transparency project, it has been
0		requesting and obtaining information held by publicly funded agencies, including
9		public schools, related to the mailings those agencies send out to members of the
10		public.
11	9.	As part of the transparency project mentioned above, Southwest Public Policy Institute
12		submitted a public records request to the Mesa Public Schools. A true and correct copy
13		of that request is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
14	10.	The Mesa Public Schools denied access to the requested records on the grounds that the
15		schools were being asked to create a record, instead of being asked to produce a copy
16		of a record. A true and correct copy of correspondence between the Schools and the
10		Southwest Public Policy Institute is attached hereto as Exhibit B.
17		LEGAL CLAIM
18		
	VERI	FIED COMPLAINT - 3 Hardin Law Office 1725 I Street NW, Suite 300

1725 I Street NW, Suite 300 Washington, DC 20006 (202) 802-1948

1	11.	Under Arizona's public records law, officers and public bodies must maintain all
2		records of their official activities supported by public funds, and they must promptly
3		furnish copies of public records to any person upon request. A.R.S. §39-121.01.
4	12.	"The core purpose of the public records law is to allow the public access to official
5		records and other government information so that the public may monitor the
		performance of government officials and their employees." Phoenix News., Inc. v.
6		Keegan, 201 Ariz. 344, 351, 35 P.3d 105, 112 (App. 2001)(citation omitted).
7	13.	The requirement to provide copies of public records includes fulfilling ongoing public
8		records requests. W. Valley View, Inc. v. Maricopa County Sheriff's Office, 216 Ariz.
9		225, 229-30, 165 P.3d 203, 207-08 (App. 2007).
10	14.	"[T]he objective implicitly expressed in § 39-121.01 is to broadly define those records
11		which are open to the public for inspection under § 39-121," and "the combined effect
12		of [Arizona public records statutes] evince a clear policy favoring disclosure." Carlson
		v. Pima County, 141 Ariz. 487, 490, 687 P.2d 1242, 1245 (1984).
13	15.	"[A]ll records required to be kept under A.R.S. § 39-121.01(B), are presumed to be
14		open to the public." <i>Id.</i> at 491, 687 P.2d at 1246.
15		
16	16.	Upon request, the custodian of public records must provide an index of records or
		categories of records withheld and the reasons for withholding them. A.R.S. § 39-
17		121.01.
18		
	VERI	FIED COMPLAINT - 4 Hardin Law Office 1725 I Street NW, Suite 300

1725 I Street NW, Suite 300 Washington, DC 20006 (202) 802-1948

1	17.	Plaintiff Southwest Public Policy Institute's request is for clearly defined categories of
2		public records, and does not call for the creation of any record.
3	18.	Under APRL, the public body (in this case, the Mesa Public Schools) has the burden to
4		"specifically demonstrate" how a competing interest overcomes the presumption of
5		disclosure, within a reasonable period of time as called for in the statute. <i>Phoenix</i>
5		News., Inc. v. Ellis, 215 Ariz. 268, 273, 159 P.3d 578, 583 (App. 2007)(quotation
6		omitted). The probability of "specific, material harm" must be shown. Mitchell v.
7		Superior Court, 142 Ariz. 332, 335, 690 P.2d 51, 54 (1984). "[G]eneralized claims of
8		broad state interest" are insufficient, and the Arizona Supreme Court has rejected using
9		a blanket rule exempting categories of documents from disclosure. Cox Ariz.
		Publications, Inc. v. Collins, 175 Ariz. 11, 13-14, 852 P.2d 1194, 1197-98 (1993).
10	19.	Despite these obligations, the respondents have failed to provide identified records or a
11		legal justification for withholding public records relating to certain of its own
12		communications, which were plainly sent, received and/or held using taxpayer funds.
13		
10	20.	By declining to provide either the identified, responsive records or the required
14		legitimate basis for withholding the requested records, the respondents have failed to
15		adequately respond to Plaintiff's request, or have unilaterally attempted to reframe
16		Plaintiff's request into a request for the creation of records, rather than for copies of
17		records.
17		

VERIFIED COMPLAINT - 5

18

Hardin Law Office 1725 I Street NW, Suite 300 Washington, DC 20006 (202) 802-1948

1	21.	As a public institution covered by the Arizona Public Records Law, the Mesa Public
2		Schools and the employees of that public body are required to conduct reasonable
3		searches for and properly turn over records responsive to a public records request,
		within a reasonable period of time.
4	22.	Satisfying an APRL request inherently requires a non-conflicted search. On
5		information and belief, the respondents simply asserted no records existed, or that the
6		request was seeking the creation of a record, without conducting a lawful or proper
7		search.
8	23.	The emails at issue in this matter are public records, and the public is entitled to open
0	23.	access to them. The respondents must fulfill this outstanding request by releasing
9		responsive records.
10		
11	24.	Publicly funded activities are "not meant to be clothed in secrecy, but to be subject to
12		open discussion and debate." Moorehead v. Arnold, 130 Ariz. 503, 505, 637 P.2d 305,
13		307 (App. 1981).
	25.	For these reasons, the respondents have violated Arizona Public Records Law and
14		Plaintiff's rights under it.
15		APPLICATION FOR ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
16		
17	26.	As set forth above, Defendants/Respondents are plainly prohibited by law from
18		withholding the requested records. Accordingly, pursuant to Rule 6(d), Ariz. R. Civ. P.,
-	   VERII	FIED COMPLAINT - 6 Hardin Law Office 1725 I Street NW, Suite 300 Washington, DC 20006

(202) 802-1948

1	and Rule 4(c), Ariz. R. of P. for Spec. Actions, it is appropriate and proper for this
2	Court to issue an Order to Show Cause why the requested relief should not be granted.
3	APPLICATION FOR ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
4	27. As set forth above, Respondents/Defendants are prohibited by law from withholding
5	the requested records. Accordingly, pursuant to Rule 6(d), Ariz. R. Civ. P., and Rule
6	4(c), Ariz. R. of P. for Spec. Actions, it is appropriate and proper for this Court to issue
7	an Order to Show Cause why the relief requested below should not be granted.
<i>,</i>	REQUEST FOR RELIEF
8	
9	28. To serve the interests of equity and justice, Plaintiffs respectfully request that this
10	honorable Court award the following relief:
	A. Issue a preliminary and permanent injunction enjoining the respondents from
11	withholding the requested records;
12	D James on orden commelling the norm on dents to immediately more ide conies of the
13	B. Issue an order compelling the respondents to immediately provide copies of the
14	requested public records;
	C. If necessary, conduct an <i>in camera</i> inspection to delete confidential information and
15	produce non-exempt information;
16	D. In the alternative, issue an order compelling the respondents to search the
17	appropriate email accounts <i>de novo</i> , remedying any inherently conflicted or deficient
18	search/production process, to produce responsive non-exempt information and/or to
10	
	VERIFIED COMPLAINT - 7 Hardin Law Office 1725 I Street NW, Suite 300 Washington, DC 20006 (202) 802-1948

1	provide sufficient justification for the failure to produce any withheld records within		
2	thirty days;		
3	E. Award damages, costs and attorneys' fees pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 12-341, 12-348, 12-		
4	2030, and 39-121.02; Rule 4(g), Ariz. R. P. for Spec. Actions; and the private		
5	attorney general doctrine; and		
6	F. Order such additional relief as may be just and proper.		
7	<b>RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED</b> this day of May, 2023, by:		
8			
9	<u>/s/Matthew D. Hardin</u> Matthew D. Hardin ( <i>Pro Hac Vice forthcoming</i> ) Hardin Law Office		
10	1725 I Street NW, Suite 300 Washington, DC 20006 Phone: 202-802-1948		
11	Email: <u>Matt@MatthewHardin.com</u>		
12	<u>/s/Sherra Kissee</u> Sherra Kissee		
13	Kissee Solutions, PLLC 768 S Palomino Dr, Payson, AZ 85541 sherra@kisseesolutions.com		
14	Phone: (480) 343-3452		
15	Attorneys for Petitioner/Plaintiff		
16			
17			
18			
	VERIFIED COMPLAINT - 8 Hardin Law Office 1725 I Street NW, Suite 300		

1725 I Street NW, Suite 300 Washington, DC 20006 (202) 802-1948